# Expert Review

# The Influence of Fine Excipient Particles on the Performance of Carrier-Based Dry Powder Inhalation Formulations

Matthew D. Jones<sup>1</sup> and Robert Price<sup>1,2</sup>

#### Received January 5, 2006; accepted March 17, 2006

Abstract. The inclusion of a small amount of fine particle excipient in a carrier-based dry powder inhalation system is a well researched technique to improve formulation performance and is employed in the pharmaceutical industry. The removal of intrinsic fines from a lactose carrier has been found to decrease formulation performance, whereas adding fines of many different materials into formulations increased performance. Changing the particle size of these fines, the amount added and the technique by which they were prepared also affected formulation behaviour. Despite this body of research, there is disagreement as to the mechanism by which fines improved formulation performance, with two main hypotheses presented in the literature. The first hypothesis suggested that fines prevent the drug from adhering to the strongest binding sites on the carrier, whilst the second proposed that fine particles of drug and excipient form mixed agglomerates that are more easily dispersed and deaggregated during aerosolisation. The evidence in support of each hypothesis is limited and it is clear that future research should aim to produce stronger mechanistic evidence. The investigation of interparticulate interactions using techniques such as atomic force microscopy and inverse gas chromatography may prove useful in achieving this aim.

KEY WORDS: dry powder inhaler; fines; fine particle excipient; ternary interactive mixtures.

#### INTRODUCTION

Three factors govern the amount of inhalable drug delivered by a dry powder inhaler  $(DPI)$ —the patient's inspiratory manoeuvre, the design of the inhaler device and the formulation it contains ([1](#page-8-0)). Carrier-based dry powder formulations can be modified in many different ways in order to optimise drug delivery [\(1\)](#page-8-0). One such method that has been researched extensively in the last 10–15 years is the inclusion of a small amount of fine particle excipient ("fines") within the powder blend, in addition to the coarse carrier and fine drug particles, to produce what is known as a ternary formulation (as opposed to a binary formulation, which contains only coarse carrier and drug). This review considers this work, comparing and contrasting the findings of the different workers who have investigated various facets of the area.

One of the challenges in reviewing DPI research is the diverse methodology employed by different workers. Different materials, mixing processes, inhaler devices and aerosolisation conditions are all known to have a dramatic effect on formulation performance [\(2\)](#page-8-0) and no two research groups employ methods in which all such factors are standardised. The details of many of these variables, as used in the cited research, are therefore tabulated, to enable the reader to consider such effects. It is striking, however, that many of the effects of fines are found to be consistent across a variety of research methodologies.

#### REMOVING INTRINSIC FINE PARTICLES FROM A LACTOSE CARRIER

In order to allow a more accurate quantification of the effects of adding fines to a formulation, a number of studies have incorporated pre-treatment of a coarse lactose carrier to remove any pre-existing ("intrinsic") fine particles, by either air-jet sieving  $(3-7)$  $(3-7)$  $(3-7)$ , air washing lactose held on a sieve  $(8-10)$  $(8-10)$  $(8-10)$  $(8-10)$  $(8-10)$  or wet decantation with lactose saturated ethanol ([11,12\)](#page-8-0). In all cases, such treatment was found to decrease the performance of formulations containing a variety of different drugs, which were blended by different techniques and aerosolised from different inhalers. Such results are in accordance with the findings of a number of studies which, when using various grades of carrier material, found that those containing the highest proportion of intrinsic fines gave the greatest performance  $(6,7,13-15)$  $(6,7,13-15)$  $(6,7,13-15)$  $(6,7,13-15)$ , although one study found this was dependent on the type of inhaler used ([14\)](#page-8-0).

The work of Islam et al., examined binary blends of salmeterol xinafoate with various grades of carrier lactose ([11,12\)](#page-8-0). As the proportion of lactose particles  $\lt 5$  µm diameter in the carrier material increased, there was a concomitant increase in the fine particle fraction (FPF) of the formulation, to a maximum when the intrinsic fine lactose

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pharmaceutical Surface Science Research Group, Department of Pharmacy and Pharmacology, University of Bath, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.

 $2$  To whom correspondence should be addressed. (e-mail: R.Price@ bath.ac.uk)

proportion was  $\sim$ 15%; further increases did not produce improved performance [\(11](#page-8-0)). Wet decantation was then employed to remove the fines from two grades of carrier, which resulted in a decrease in the FPF ([11,12\)](#page-8-0). A significant correlation was established between the FPF of salmeterol xinafoate and the proportion of fine lactose particles in the carrier material. A similar relationship was seen for all the various grades of lactose used and treatments applied to them, suggesting that the proportion of fine lactose in the formulations was the key factor controlling performance and that carrier lactose particle size and surface characteristics are less important [\(11](#page-8-0)).

When considering the results of these studies, the possibility that the removal of intrinsic fines might induce other changes in the carrier material should be borne in mind. For example, air-jet sieving or air washing might lead to triboelectric charging of the lactose, which has been shown to decrease DPI performance ([16\)](#page-8-0). Although lactose is practically insoluble in ethanol ([17\)](#page-8-0), wet decantation might have induced surface changes in the coarse particles, for example the recrystallisation of amorphous regions or microscale morphological changes. These possible confounding factors were not investigated or discussed by the original authors. The consistent finding that the removal of fines, by whatever mechanism, results in decreased performance lends credence to the hypothesis that this is caused by reducing the proportion of intrinsic fines, however.

#### ADDING LACTOSE FINES TO A FORMULATION

The majority of research in this area has focused on the addition of lactose fines to blends of coarse lactose (typically a 63–90  $\mu$ m size fraction) and drug [\(3](#page-8-0)–[6,8](#page-8-0)–[12,18](#page-8-0)–[37\)](#page-8-0). The fine lactose used in these investigations typically had a volume median diameter (VMD) of  $4-7 \mu m$  and the proportion added was typically in the range 1.5 to 10%, but proportions as high as 95% have been investigated [\(20,25](#page-8-0),[27,28\)](#page-8-0). Further details of these studies are given in Table [I.](#page-3-0) Although salbutamol sulphate has been the most frequently investigated drug, a number of other drugs have also used: beclomethasone dipropionate [\(5,29](#page-8-0)), bovine serum albumin ([3,4\)](#page-8-0), budesonide [\(23,37](#page-8-0)), formoterol fumarate dihydrate [\(37](#page-8-0)), glucagon [\(33](#page-8-0)), liposomal amikacin ([30\)](#page-8-0), liposomal amphotericin B [\(31,32](#page-8-0)), salmeterol xinafoate [\(11,12](#page-8-0),[20,26,28,35](#page-8-0),[36](#page-8-0)) and a candidate NK1 receptor antagonist (FK888) [\(27](#page-8-0)).

Most of this research has made use of in vitro measurements of formulation performance and the vast majority of this has found that the addition of lactose fines increases (by varying magnitudes) either the fine particle dose (FPD) or FPF of the drug  $(3-6,8-12,18,22-33,35,37)$  $(3-6,8-12,18,22-33,35,37)$  $(3-6,8-12,18,22-33,35,37)$  $(3-6,8-12,18,22-33,35,37)$  $(3-6,8-12,18,22-33,35,37)$  $(3-6,8-12,18,22-33,35,37)$  $(3-6,8-12,18,22-33,35,37)$  $(3-6,8-12,18,22-33,35,37)$  (see Table [I\)](#page-3-0). The evidence from these in vitro studies is supported by data from one in vivo study, which found that the inclusion of lactose fines in a salbutamol sulphate carrier-based formulation increased both the urinary excretion of the drug and the postadministration forced expiratory volume in one second  $(FEV<sub>1</sub>)$  [\(21](#page-8-0)). Increases in both of these parameters suggest increased pulmonary delivery of the drug.

Two of these studies should not be mentioned without a caveat relating to the types of lactose used as carrier (Sorbolac 400, Meggle, Germany) and fines  $(25 \mu m)$  sieved Pharmatose 325M, DMV International, The Netherlands) [\(31](#page-8-0),[32](#page-8-0),[38\)](#page-9-0). Sorbolac 400 is more usually used as fines and is described by its manufacturer as a fine milled lactose with  $\geq$ 90% of particles <32  $\mu$ m diameter [\(39](#page-9-0)). Pharmatose 325M, however, is usually used as a coarse carrier and has a typical particle size distribution of  $d_{10\%} = 5.0 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ,  $d_{50\%} = 54.3 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$  and  $d_{90\%}$  = 95.9 µm [\(25](#page-8-0)). This suggests, therefore, that even when sieved through a 25 µm sieve, the Pharmatose 325M used as fines in this work [\(31](#page-8-0),[32\)](#page-8-0) would have had a similar, if not larger, particle size than the Sorbolac 400 used as carrier. Unfortunately, no particle size data is provided in either study to clarify this issue and so the comparability of these studies with the majority of research in this field is questionable.

#### NON-LACTOSE FINES

The effect of non-lactose fine excipients on the performance of ternary formulations has also been investigated. Fines of erythritol ([37](#page-8-0)), glucose [\(24,35](#page-8-0),[40\)](#page-9-0), mannitol [\(6,35,37](#page-8-0)), polyethylene glycol 6000 ([4](#page-8-0)), sorbitol [\(6,35](#page-8-0)) and trehalose [\(37](#page-8-0)) have all been found to increase either the FPD or FPF of a variety of drugs when added to a binary formulation (see Tables [II](#page-5-0) and [III](#page-6-0) for further details). When directly compared, fines of different materials have produced varying increases in formulation performance compared to each other and to lactose fines, with lactose fines producing poorer, equal and better performance in various studies (see Table [II\)](#page-5-0).

One of these studies also examined the deposition of lactose and glucose from formulations containing fines of one of these sugars, salbutamol sulphate and a coarse lactose carrier [\(24](#page-8-0)). Unsurprisingly, addition of increasing quantities of lactose fines was found to increase the FPD of lactose and addition of increasing quantities of glucose fines produced an increased glucose FPD. Addition of glucose fines also increased the lactose FPD however, whilst binary blends of micronised salbutamol sulphate and coarse lactose produced a higher lactose FPD than aerosolisation of the coarse lactose carrier alone. The authors attribute these latter unexpected effects to destabilisation of the interaction between coarse and intrinsic fine lactose particles by the addition of fine particles of another material.

Such findings are in accordance with work published by Zeng et al. ([10\)](#page-8-0), who were unable to detect any lactose with an aerodynamic diameter  $\lt 6.18$   $\mu$ m upon aerosolisation of a formulation of air washed coarse lactose and salbutamol sulphate, even though 8% of the carrier consisted of particles in this size range. The authors suggested that as the coarse lactose had undergone air washing, the remaining fines would have been strongly adhered to larger particles and thus would not have detached upon aerosolisation. When 1.5% lactose fines (VMD =  $5 \mu m$ ) were included in the formulation however, up to 1.7% of the recovered lactose had an aerodynamic diameter  $< 6.18 \mu m$ .

### VARYING THE AMOUNT OF FINES ADDED TO A FORMULATION

A number of studies have investigated the effects of varying the concentration of fines added to a formulation. Over the range 1.5 to 10%, the addition of greater proportions of fines was found to increase the FPD or FPF of the drug [\(3,4,6,8,21,24](#page-8-0),[25,](#page-8-0)[40\)](#page-9-0). Estimates of the optimum concentration

#### The Effect of Fines on the Performance of DPI Formulations 1667

of fines have varied from 9 or  $10\%$  ([25,30](#page-8-0)–[33](#page-8-0)) via 16% ([40](#page-9-0)), 20% ([28,](#page-8-0)[41\)](#page-9-0) and 37.5% ([27\)](#page-8-0) to 50% ([40\)](#page-9-0), with concentrations as high as 95% having been investigated ([25\)](#page-8-0). Such variation can presumably be attributed to the different materials and methods used in these studies. Work by Stewart et al., with lactose-based salmeterol xinafoate binary formulations ([26\)](#page-8-0) and by Islam et al., with similar ternary formulations ([11\)](#page-8-0) suggested that the optimum FPF occurs when the ratio of drug particles to fine excipient particles is 1. As the ratio increases, FPF decreases.

#### FINE LACTOSE PREPARATION TECHNIQUE

In a study published in 2001, Zeng et al., investigated the effect of the method used to produce lactose fines on the performance of ternary formulations [\(22](#page-8-0)). Both micronised and recrystallised lactose fines (VMD =  $5.0$  and  $5.9 \text{ µm}$ , respectively) were found to increase the FPD of salbutamol sulphate compared to a binary formulation, with recrystallised fines producing a greater increase (51%) than micronised fines (35%).

The authors speculate that this difference could be due to two factors, the presumed presence of amorphous material in the micronised fines (to which drug particles would be expected to bind more strongly) and the needle-like particle shape of the recrystallised fines. Consequently, it was speculated, upon aerosolisation, a greater number of salbutamol sulphate particles might have detached from the recrystallised fines than from the micronised fines and that any drug which did not detach from the recrystallised fines might have penetrated further into the lung, due to the crystal habit of these fines.

These authors also concluded that the effects of lactose fines (particles  $\langle 10 \mu m \rangle$  diameter) on formulation performance dominated any influence that coarse carrier particle size or roughness may have had. Similar conclusions have been drawn by Stewart et al. [\(26](#page-8-0)).

#### PARTICLE SIZE OF FINE LACTOSE

Zeng et al., investigated the influence of the size of lactose fines on the performance of ternary formulations and found that fines with a VMD of  $5.0 \mu m$  produced a greater increase in the FPD of salbutamol sulphate than those with a VMD of 15.9  $\mu$ m [\(8](#page-8-0)–[10](#page-8-0)). Similar work by Adi *et al.*, employed fines of four different particle size ranges  $(<5, 5-10, 10-20$ and  $20-45 \mu m$ ) and found that those with a median diameter of 5.5  $\mu$ m gave the greatest FPF of salmeterol xinafoate ([28](#page-8-0)).

In another study, Adi et al., examined the effects of lactose fines with four different median diameters on the performance of formulations of salmeterol xinafoate [\(36](#page-8-0),[41](#page-9-0)). It was found that fines with a VMD of  $7.9 \mu m$  gave greater performance than fines with VMDs of 3.0, 17.7 and 33.3  $\mu$ m. Analysis of scanning electron micrographs (SEMs) of these formulations suggested that  $7.9 \mu m$  fines gave the greatest degree of drug particle detachment from the carrier, whilst 3.0 2m fines led to the formation of multilayers and agglomerates covering the carrier and the two larger fractions acted as secondary carriers, with drug particles adhered to their surface.

#### THE EFFECT OF FINES ON DRUG RETENTION IN THE INHALER DEVICE

In addition to their effects FPD or FPF, fines have also been found to both increase  $(6,9,10,22,42-44)$  $(6,9,10,22,42-44)$  $(6,9,10,22,42-44)$  $(6,9,10,22,42-44)$  $(6,9,10,22,42-44)$  $(6,9,10,22,42-44)$  $(6,9,10,22,42-44)$  $(6,9,10,22,42-44)$  $(6,9,10,22,42-44)$  $(6,9,10,22,42-44)$  $(6,9,10,22,42-44)$ , decrease  $(6)$  or not effect  $(3-5,24)$  $(3-5,24)$  $(3-5,24)$  $(3-5,24)$  $(3-5,24)$  $(3-5,24)$  the proportion of drug retained in the inhaler after aerosolisation. It is therefore apparent that this effect is dependant upon both the materials and methods used. Where the addition of fines to a formulation has been found to increase the amount of drug retention in the device, the effect has been attributed to either the decreased flowability of powders containing a higher proportion of fine particles ([6,](#page-8-0)[44](#page-9-0)) (such an effect on flowability has been observed by other workers [\(4\)](#page-8-0)) or to the increased adhesiveness of fine particles, due to their increased true contact area ([42\)](#page-9-0). As the increased adhesiveness of fine particles is thought to cause their poor flowability [\(45](#page-9-0)), these two explanations can be considered to describe the same phenomenon.

# THE EFFECTS OF LACTOSE FINES ON DRUG-CARRIER ADHESION

In 1998, Podczeck described the use of a centrifuge technique to assess the adhesion between salmeterol xinafoate and various lactose carriers produced by blending fine, medium and coarse grades of lactose to give differing particle size distributions ([20\)](#page-8-0). An increasing proportion of fines in the carrier was found to produce large increases in the adhesion force. Podczeck concluded that this was related to the large increase in the total surface area of the carrier (and thus contact area between drug and excipient) caused by increasing the proportion of fines. The findings of this work are very different to those of Lord and Staniforth [\(19](#page-8-0)), however. Using a different centrifuge technique, these workers found that inclusion of increasing concentrations of lactose fines (VMD = 12.6  $\mu$ m) in a blend of 0.8% salbutamol sulphate and coarse lactose resulted in decreasing adhesion between the drug and carrier. Clearly more work is required to fully characterise the variables that influence the effect that fine particles have on the adhesion between drug and carrier.

#### THE MECHANISM BY WHICH FINES IMPROVE FORMULATION PERFORMANCE

There is disagreement in the literature as to the mechanism by which fines improve the performance of carrier-based DPI formulations. Broadly speaking, two different mechanisms have been proposed, each with some supporting empirical evidence, but they remain speculative. In the following two sections, each hypothesis and its supporting evidence, as described by its proponents, are reported.

### Hypothesis 1: The Occupation of Areas of High Adhesion by Fine Excipient Particles

Early work in this area ([1](#page-8-0),[8,19](#page-8-0),[46\)](#page-9-0) explained the effects of fines by reference to the work of Hersey on the interactions between coarse and fine particles in the formation of ordered mixtures ([47\)](#page-9-0). It was proposed that fine excipient particles preferentially bind to the areas on the surface of the coarse carrier with the strongest binding

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Table I. Details of Investigations into the Effects of Lactose Fines on the Performance of Carrier-Based DPI Formulations

characteristics, thus forcing drug particles to bind to areas with weaker binding characteristics (see Fig. [1\)](#page-7-0). On inspiration, drug particles are therefore more easily liberated from the surface of carrier particles, increasing the proportion of drug available for inhalation.

Zeng et al., have speculated that their studies of the effect on formulation performance of the order in which carrier, drug and fines are blended provide evidence to support this hypothesis [\(5,8](#page-8-0),[10,18\)](#page-8-0). These found that formulations produced by blending the coarse carrier and fines before adding the drug (thus giving the fines the first opportunity to bind to areas of high adhesion on the carrier, see Fig. [2](#page-7-0)A) gave greater performance than formulations produced by blending the coarse carrier and drug first (thus

giving the drug particles the first opportunity to bind to areas of high adhesion on the carrier, see Fig. [2](#page-7-0)B). The magnitude of this effect ranged between a 60 and 70% increase in FPD, although this was reduced when aerosolisation occurred at higher flow rates ([8,10](#page-8-0)). The other possible blending order (drug and fine excipient particles before addition of coarse carrier) tended to yield an intermediate FPD. An identical interpretation of similar data is also found in the work of Shah and Misra [\(30,32\)](#page-8-0).

Zeng et al., also examined the effect of blending order on the FPD of lactose produced by ternary formulations [\(10](#page-8-0)). The formulation produced by first blending salbutamol sulphate and coarse lactose (where fine lactose might be unable to adhere to the strongest binding sites as they are

| Percentage of fine<br>lactose added               | Performance<br>assessed by    | Inhaler used                                     | Fine lactose<br>median diameter<br>$(\mu m)$ | Control formulation                                    | Influence of additional<br>fine lactose                                      |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 6.0   | <b>TSI</b>                    | Rotahaler®                                       | 60   | Carrier + drug   | FPF $\uparrow$ by up to 116%   |
| 1, 2, 4, 8 & 16                                   | Centrifugal<br>adhesion force | N/A  | N/A  | Carrier $+$ drug                                       | ↓ adhesion force   |
| $1.5, 3.0, 6.0 \& 9.0$<br>$2.5, 5.0, 7.5 \& 10.0$ | <b>TSI</b><br><b>TSI</b>      | Rotahaler <sup>®</sup><br>Diskhaler <sup>®</sup> | 60 & 90<br>60                                | Air-jet treated carrier + drug<br>$AJS$ carrier + drug | FPF $\uparrow$ by up to 161%<br>FPD $\uparrow$ by up to 101%                 |
| 1.5%  | <b>TSI</b>                    | Rotahaler <sup>®</sup>                           | 60   | Air-jet treated carrier + drug                         | FPD $\uparrow$ by up to 148%   |
| 2.5, 5.0, 7.5 & 10.0                              | <b>TSI</b>                    | Diskhaler <sup>®</sup>                           | 60   | $AJS$ carrier + drug                                   | FPD $\uparrow$ by up to 60%  |
| 10, 20 & 30                                       | Centrifugal<br>adhesion force | N/A  | N/A  | Carrier $+$ drug                                       | ↑ adhesion force   |
| 1.5   | TSI & ACI                     | Rotahaler®                                       | 60 & 90                                      | Air-jet treated<br>$\text{carrier} + \text{drug}$      | FPD $\uparrow$ by up to 104%   |
| 2.5 & 5   | <b>TSI</b>                    | Rotahaler <sup>®</sup>                           | 60   | AJS carrier $+$ drug                                   | FPD $\uparrow$ by up to 1781%  |
| $1.5 \& 4.4$                                      | <b>TSI</b>                    | Rotahaler <sup>®</sup>                           | 60   | AJS carrier & drug                                     | FPD $\uparrow$ by up to 150%   |
| 4.4   | In vivo                       | Cyclohaler®                                      | N/A  | Carrier $+$ drug                                       | Urinary salbutamol<br>excretion $\uparrow$ 228%. $\uparrow$ FEV <sub>1</sub> |
| 5   | ACI                           | Rotahaler®                                       | 60   | $Carrier + drug$                                       | FPD $\uparrow$ by up to 51%  |
| 4.8   | <b>ACI</b>                    | Taifun®  | 28.3   | $Carrier + drug$                                       | FPF $\uparrow$ by up to 244%   |
| 1, 5 & 10   | <b>TSI</b>                    | Rotahaler <sup>®</sup>                           | 60   | $Carrier + drug$                                       | FPF $\uparrow$ by up to 250%   |
| $5 - 95$  | <b>TSI</b>                    | Rotahaler <sup>®</sup>                           | 60   | $Carrier + drug$                                       | FPF $\uparrow$ by up to 92%  |
| N/A   | <b>TSI</b>                    | Rotahaler <sup>®</sup>                           | 60   | N/A  | N/A  |
| 12.5-62.5%  | <b>TSI</b>                    | $\text{Spinhaler}^{\circledR}$                   | 60   | Carrier $+$ drug                                       | FPF $\uparrow$ by up to 176%   |
| $1 - 20%$   | <b>TSI</b>                    | Rotahaler <sup>®</sup>                           |  | Carrier $+$ drug                                       | FPF $\uparrow$   |
| To original                                       | TSI                           | Rotahaler®                                       | 60   | Carrier minus fines + drug                             | FPF $\uparrow$ to original level   |
| To original                                       | TSI                           | Rotahaler <sup>®</sup>                           | 60   | Carrier minus fines + drug                             | FPF $\uparrow$ to original level   |
| 2.5   | <b>TSI</b>                    | Spinhaler <sup>®</sup>                           | 60   | Carrier $+$ drug                                       | FPF $\uparrow$ by 40%<br>(not significant)                                   |
| 2   | <b>NGI</b>                    | FlowCaps®  | 31   | Carrier $+$ drug                                       | FPF $\uparrow$ by up to 34%  |
| 5, 10 & 15  | <b>TSI</b>                    | Rotahaler <sup>®</sup>                           | 60   | Carrier $+$ drug                                       | FPF $\uparrow$ by up to 126%   |
| 5, 10 & 15  | <b>TSI</b>                    | Rotahaler <sup>®</sup>                           | 60   | Sorbolac $400 + drug$                                  | FPF $\uparrow$ by up to 29%  |
| 5, 10 & 15  | TSI                           | Rotahaler <sup>®</sup>                           | 60   | Sorbolac $400 + drug$                                  | FPF $\uparrow$ by up to 48%  |
| 9, ~17 & 33                                       | ACI                           | Jethaler®  | 28.3   | None   |  |
| 10  | <b>TSI</b>                    | Rotahaler®                                       | 60   | $Carrier + drug$                                       | FPF $\uparrow$   |
| Varying   | <b>TSI</b>                    | Rotahaler <sup>®</sup>                           | 60   | $\overline{?}$   | FPF $\uparrow$   |
| 10  | <b>NGI</b>                    | Rotahaler <sup>®</sup>                           | 60   | Carrier + drug   | FPD $\uparrow$ by up to 203%   |

Table I. Continued.

ACI Andersen cascade impactor, AJS air jet sieved, BSA bovine serum albumin,  $FEV<sub>I</sub>$  forced expiratory volume in one second, FPD fine particle dose, FPF fine particle fraction, N/A not applicable, NGI next generation impactor, TSI twin stage impinger

occupied by drug particles, see Fig. [2](#page-7-0)B) produced double the lactose FPD of the formulation produced by blending coarse and fine lactose first (where fine lactose might be expected to adhere to the strongest binding sites, see Fig. [2](#page-7-0)A). The authors concluded that this provides further evidence in support of the passivation of strong binding sites hypothesis.

Zeng et al., have also found that addition of a small amount of lactose fines (just enough to produce a complete mono-layer over the coarse carrier material) to a formulation of salbutamol sulphate and coarse lactose produced a larger increase in the FPD of the drug than that produced by the addition of up to six times as many fines as were originally added ([9](#page-8-0)). They therefore speculate that the greatest contribution to the improved performance of ternary dry powder inhalation formulations is from lactose bound to the carrier and thus the dominant mechanism by which improved performance is produced is the passivation of the strongest binding sites.

Zeng et al., note, however, that under this hypothesis, a certain amount of redistribution of fines and drug particles between different binding sites must occur, as whatever blending order is used, formulations containing additional fine excipient particles produce greater FPDs than those without additional fines [\(5,10](#page-8-0)). To further illustrate this point, one investigation found that when formulation blending time was increased from 15 to 60 min, the order in which the

| Reference                  | Drug   | Coarse carrier  | Fine material<br>and median diameter                                       | Percentage fines added |  |
|----------------------------|--|---|--|------------------------|--|
| Lucas <i>et al.</i> $(4)$  | <b>BSA 2.0%</b>  | Lactose $63-90 \mu m$                                     | PEG 6000 4.0 µm  | 5.0                    |  |
| Endo <i>et al.</i> (33)    | Glucagon 1:100   | Lactose or erythritol                                     | Erythritol 2.5 m   | 9, $\sim$ 17 & 33      |  |
| Tee <i>et al.</i> $(6)$    | Salbutamol sulphate 1.5%   | Lactose, mannitol or<br>sorbitol $63-90 \mu m$            | Mannitol 4.31 $\mu$ m or<br>sorbitol $6.17 \mu m$                          | $1.5 \& 4.4$           |  |
| Arnold <i>et al.</i> (40)  | Fenoterol 2%   | Glucose   | Glucose $5 \mu m$  | 4 & 16                 |  |
| Arnold et al. (40)         | Ipratropium bromide 0.8%   | Glucose   | Glucose $8 \mu m$  | 5, 10, 25 & 50         |  |
| Adi et al. (35)            | Salmeterol xinafoate 2.5%  | Lactose, glucose, mannitol or<br>sorbitol $106-180 \mu m$ | Lactose, glucose,<br>mannitol or sorbitol                                  | 10                     |  |
| Louey and Stewart (24)     | Salbutamol sulphate 5%   | Pharmatose 325M   | Glucose $4.4 \mu m$  | $1, 5 \& 10$           |  |
| Jones <i>et al.</i> $(37)$ | Budesonide 1.5%,<br>formoterol fumarate<br>dihydrate 1.5%, drug<br>A 1.5% or drug B 1.5% | Lactose $63-90 \mu m$                                     | Erythritol 4.2 $\mu$ m,<br>mannitol 5.8 $\mu$ m &<br>trehalose $5.2 \mu m$ | 10                     |  |

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Table II. Details of Investigations into the Effects of Non-Lactose Fines on the Performance of Carrier-Based DPI Formulations

components were blended had no significant effect on formulation performance, an effect attributed to equilibrium being reached in the redistribution of fine lactose and drug particles between binding sites during the longer mixing time [\(5\)](#page-8-0). The dependence of the effects of blending order on blending technique (and drug concentration) are further illustrated by the work of Hartmann and Steckel ([34](#page-8-0)), suggesting that the interpretation of the results of such studies is extremely complicated and hence unable to provide any mechanistic evidence beyond pure speculation.

A number of other studies have proposed the passivation of strong binding sites as the mechanism by which fines improve formulation performance [\(6,9,13,22,31](#page-8-0)[,48](#page-9-0)). They provided little or no experimental data of their own to support this hypothesis, however, simply citing the studies discussed above and also suggested a number of other, even more speculative, mechanisms to explain this phenomenon. These included the filling in of crevices in the surface of the coarse carrier (from which drug particles would be difficult to aerosolise) by fines ([8,9,22](#page-8-0)), although it is difficult to see how this mechanism differs from the passivation of the strongest binding sites, since surface crevices in the coarse carrier are, presumably, strong binding sites.

It should be noted that a recent study by Young et al., claimed to provide evidence for the existence of areas of high adhesion on the surface of lactose carrier particles, although the formulations used in this work did not include additional fine excipient particles [\(49](#page-9-0)). Another study that used atomic force microscopy (AFM) to measure the adhesive force between a  $10 \mu m$  silica sphere and various sites on the surface of a lactose carrier found a log-normal distribution of forces however, suggesting that that division of a carrier surface into areas of strong and weak adhesion may be too simplistic [\(50](#page-9-0)). The relevance of this study is limited, however, by the choice of a silica sphere (i.e., non-drug) probe ([51\)](#page-9-0).

#### Hypothesis 2: The Formation of Agglomerates of Drug and Fine Excipient Particles

Lucas et al., found that the FPD of salbutamol sulphate and bovine serum albumin from ternary blends with coarse and fine lactose was independent of the blending order used to produce the formulation ([3](#page-8-0),[4](#page-8-0)). They therefore suggested that it was unlikely that the addition of fines improved formulation performance by preventing adhesion of drug particles to the strongest binding sites. Instead, they speculated that during the blending process, drug particles were distributed between the surface of the carrier and multiplets formed by the aggregation of fines and drug particles (see Fig. [3](#page-7-0)). The experimental evidence to support this was limited to SEMs of the formulations that showed the presence of both drug-coarse carrier adhesion units and fine particle multiplets, although it had been described previously for other ternary powder blends by Soebagyo and Stewart [\(52](#page-9-0)). Lucas et al., further speculated that upon aerosolisation, drug particles were more easily liberated from fine particle multiplets than from the surface of coarse carrier particles, as fine lactose was thought to have a smoother surface than coarse lactose, giving a reduced force of adhesion between drug and fines ([3,4\)](#page-8-0). They also suggested that certain fine particle multiplets might also be small enough to form part of the FPD without detachment of drug particles [\(3,4\)](#page-8-0), a premise supported by the work of Srichana et al., whose work on the deposition of salbutamol sulphate and lactose found that these two components can travel together to the lower regions of the lung ([48](#page-9-0)).

In 1999, Zeng et al. [\(10](#page-8-0)) compared their own work (which had found significant blending order effects) with that of Lucas et al., discussed above. They suggested that the effect of blending order is dependant upon the particle size of the fines, the device from which the formulation is aerosolised and the flow rate used. In particular, they suggested that blending order becomes significant when formulations are tested at low flow rates through low resistance devices. Lucas et al., used a Diskhaler $\mathbb{R}$  in their work, whilst Zeng et al., used a Rotahaler<sup>®</sup>, a device with less than half the resis-tance of a Diskhaler<sup>®</sup> ([10\)](#page-8-0), causing Zeng *et al.*, to suggest that this was the reason for the lack of a significant effect attributable to blending order in the work of Lucas et al., ([3](#page-8-0)).

Such an explanation cannot be used to explain the results of Louey and Stewart, who used a Rotahaler $\mathbb{R}$  at the same flow rate as Zeng et al., and yet did not find any

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

| Performance<br>assessed by | Inhaler used           | Flow rate used<br>$(l. \text{min}^{-1})$ | Control<br>formulation | Influence of<br>non-lactose fines  | Effect compared<br>to lactose fines                                      |
|----------------------------|------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| <b>TSI</b>                 | Rotahaler <sup>®</sup> | 60                                       | $Carrier + drug$       | FPD $\uparrow$ by 47%              | $=$  |
| <b>ACI</b>                 | Jethaler <sup>®</sup>  | 28.3                                     |                        |                                    | ↑ FPF with   |
|                            |                        |  |                        |                                    | either carrier   |
| <b>TSI</b>                 | Rotahaler <sup>®</sup> | 60                                       | $Carrier + drug$       | See Table III                      | $\uparrow$ or $\downarrow$ depending<br>on carrier                       |
| $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$   | $\overline{\cdot}$     | $\overline{\cdot}$                       | $Carrier + drug$       | FPD $\uparrow$ by                  |  |
|                            |                        |  |                        | up to $115%$                       |  |
| $\overline{\cdot}$         | $\overline{\cdot}$     | $\overline{\cdot}$                       | $Carrier + drug$       | FPD $\uparrow$ by                  |  |
|                            |                        |  |                        | up to $154\%$                      |  |
| <b>TSI</b>                 | Rotahaler®             | 60                                       | $Carrier + drug$       | FPF $\uparrow$                     | Glucose > lactose ><br>$mannitol$ > sorbitol                             |
| <b>TSI</b>                 | Rotahaler®             | 60                                       | $Carrier + drug$       | FPF $\uparrow$ by                  | $\uparrow$ FPF   |
|                            |                        |  |                        | up to $\sim 75\%$                  |  |
| <b>NGI</b>                 | Rotahaler®             | 60                                       | $Carrier + drug$       | FPD $\uparrow$ by<br>up to $237\%$ | $\uparrow$ or $\downarrow$ depending on<br>fines and drug<br>combination |

Table II. Continued.

ACI Andersen cascade impactor, BSA bovine serum albumin, FPD fine particle dose, FPF fine particle fraction, NGI Next Generation Impactor, PEG polyethylene glycol, TSI twin stage impinger

significant effects of blending order ([24\)](#page-8-0). Louey and Stewart also found that the addition of salbutamol sulphate to coarse lactose increased the FPD of lactose produced upon aerosolisation, suggesting that salbutamol sulphate particles can displace intrinsic fine lactose particles from their coarse carrier binding sites ([24](#page-8-0)). The authors suggested that this phenomenon could not occur to any great extent if fines improved formulation performance by preventing drug particle adhesion to the strongest binding sites.

Given these observations, Louey and Stewart extended the hypothesis of multilayer and multiplet formation. They speculated that when contained in such structures, drug particles were more easily liberated from the surface of coarse carrier particles during aerosolisation, as such agglomerates have a greater detachment mass ([24\)](#page-8-0). Such a theory is supported by the observation of drug-fine excipient multiplets adhered to the surface of coarse carrier particles [\(4,24\)](#page-8-0) and is also mentioned in the work of Larhrib et al. ([13\)](#page-8-0). In 2003 and 2004, Stewart et al.  $(26)$  $(26)$  and Islam et al.  $(11)$  $(11)$ presented data showing that the optimum salmeterol xinafoate FPF obtained from lactose-based ternary formulations occurred when the ratio of drug particles to fine excipient particles was 1. As the ratio increased, the FPF decreased. These authors considered this to be consistent with the hypothetical multiplet mechanism outlined above. They

speculated that at a high ratio (more drug particles than lactose particles), FPF was low, because multiplets were formed mainly from drug particles and were thus held together by strong cohesive interactions. As the ratio decreased and there were relatively more lactose particles in the formulation, it was speculated that the FPF increased because multiplets were formed of both salmeterol xinafoate and fine lactose particles, which weakened the cohesive interactions between drug particles. No explanation was provided as to why the cohesion between drug particles should be stronger than the adhesion between drug and lactose particles, however.

Islam et al., have also published work that showed that the VMD of a lactose carrier did not affect the FPF produced by a binary blend with salmeterol xinafoate ([12\)](#page-8-0). They suggested that as a smaller carrier will have a greater surface area, under the passivation of binding sites hypothesis, a smaller carrier should have more areas with strong binding characteristics and therefore a reduction in carrier size should have produced a decrease in formulation performance. The authors pointed out that their results did not show this effect and were not incompatible with the hypothesis of mixed multiplet and multilayer formation. Agglomerate formation was also mentioned by Adi et al., as being important for drug aerosolisation, as it was thought to

Table III. Mean FPD ± Standard Deviation (µg) of Formulations Containing Lactose, Mannitol and Sorbitol Carriers and Fine Particles, as Reported by Tee et al. [\(6\)](#page-8-0)

|                  |          |                    |                 | Fine material  |                |               |
|------------------|----------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
|                  |          | Binary formulation | Lactose         | Mannitol       | Sorbitol       | Percent fines |
| Carrier material | Lactose  | $29.7 \pm 4.3$     | $33.7 \pm 6.9$  | $51.3 \pm 6.3$ | $49.6 \pm 3.7$ | 1.5           |
|                  |          |                    | $58.6 \pm 16.5$ | $63.0 \pm 4.2$ | $63.6 \pm 4.1$ | 4.4           |
|                  | Mannitol | $40.9 \pm 4.4$     | $57.7 \pm 4.6$  | $45.8 \pm 5.9$ | $50.0 \pm 6.3$ | 1.5           |
|                  |          |                    | $73.1 \pm 9.1$  | $36.1 \pm 8.1$ | $61.0 \pm 4.8$ | 4.4           |
|                  | Sorbitol | $30.5 \pm 3.0$     | $53.8 \pm 5.9$  | $43.8 \pm 1.5$ | $45.1 \pm 3.7$ | 1.5           |
|                  |          |                    | $76.3 \pm 9.3$  | $43.4 \pm 2.6$ | $50.0 \pm 3.2$ | 4.4           |

<span id="page-7-0"></span>



Fig. 1. Under hypothetical mechanism 1, drug particles are more easily liberated from the surface of carrier particles when fines are present, as the fines adhere to the strongest binding sites on the carrier surface, forcing the drug onto weaker binding sites.

lead to the detachment of drug particles from the surface of the carrier [\(35](#page-8-0),[36,](#page-8-0)[41\)](#page-9-0).

# Comparing the Hypothetical Mechanisms and Future Research

It seems, then, that no definitive conclusion can yet be reached as to the mechanism by which fine excipient particles improve the performance of DPI formulations, especially as the aerosolisation of the only drug investigated more than once (salbutamol sulphate) has been found to be both affected [\(8,10,18](#page-8-0)) and unaffected ([3](#page-8-0),[4,24](#page-8-0)) by its blending order with coarse and fine lactose. In truth, the evidence in support of both mechanisms is limited, being restricted to the presence or absence of an effect of blending order (which, as discussed, is affected by many other variables), images showing the presence of mixed multiplets in unaerosolised formulations and calculations based upon the effects of adding different proportions of fines, which have been used in support of both mechanisms.

Further insights into the mechanism(s) by which fines improve DPI performance are most likely to come from a greater understanding of the interparticulate interactions of the components present in ternary formulations and how these relate to the subsequent deaggregation processes. Although the basic principles of interparticulate interactions have been described (for example, in the work of French et al. ([53\)](#page-9-0) and Shekunov et al. [\(54](#page-9-0))), experimental measurements are often several orders of magnitude greater than theoretical values [\(55,56](#page-9-0)). In addition, there is an absence of studies providing a theoretical description of the deaggrega-

### A Fines Druc 1<sup>st</sup> blend blend B Drug Fines 1<sup>st</sup> blend blend

Fig. 2. Speculated effect of blending order on final blend structure. A—when fines are blended with the carrier first, they have the first opportunity to adhere to the strong binding sites, forcing the drug onto weaker binding sites. B—when the drug is blended with the carrier first, it has the first opportunity to adhere to the strong binding sites, forcing the fines onto weaker binding sites.



Fig. 3. Representation of the distribution of drug particles between the surface of the coarse carrier (A) and multiplets or agglomerates of drug and fines (B) as proposed by Lucas et al.  $(3,4)$  $(3,4)$ .

tion process that occurs during the aerosolisation of complex, three component, ternary formulations.

Experimentally, colloid probe AFM and inverse gas chromatography (IGC) have been used to give an experimental insight into the interactions found within binary DPI formulations and to relate this to their performance. Bunker et al., have recently written an excellent review of this AFM work [\(51](#page-9-0)), whilst the use of IGC in conjunction with studies of formulation performance is limited to the work of Cline and Dalby ([57\)](#page-9-0) and Tong et al. ([58\)](#page-9-0). To date, however, only one preliminary study has applied such techniques to the study of ternary formulations [\(37](#page-8-0)) and although the results appeared interesting, it is too early to say if this approach will prove useful in elucidating the mechanism(s) responsible for the effect of fines on DPI performance. It is clear, however, that future research into the effects of fines should employ techniques such as AFM and IGC in order to attempt to explain this phenomenon.

# **CONCLUSIONS**

The inclusion of fines in carrier-based dry powder inhalation systems is an extensively researched and useful technique for the improvement of formulation performance. Carriers containing greater proportions of intrinsic fines give better performance, which can be decreased by their removal. The addition of fine particles of lactose or one of many other excipients to a formulation increases formulation performance, although this may be at the cost of decreased emission of drug from the device. The optimum median particle size for additional fines appears to be approximately  $5 - 8 \mu m$ .

Both the optimum concentration of fines to include in a formulation and the material they should be remains unclear, due to apparently conflicting findings. In all probability, there are not single answers to these questions, the optimum in each case depending on the interplay of the other materials in the formulation, the processing techniques and aerosolisation conditions. The solution to these issues may therefore lie in further research into the vexed question of the mechanism by which fines improve formulation performance, which may be best addressed by theoretical and experimental research addressing the various interparticulate interactions found within a ternary formulation.

As a final note, caution needs to be exercised in the development of these formulations, as the long term implications of the inhalation of fine excipient particles are currently unclear and may produce concern amongst clini<span id="page-8-0"></span>cians and regulatory authorities ([59\)](#page-9-0). Such concerns are given weight by research showing that the aerosolisation of ternary formulations produces a greater FPD of the excipient than the aerosolisation of binary formulations.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. D. Ganderton. The generation of respirable clouds from coarse powder aggregates. J. Biopharm. Sci. 3:101-105 (1992).
- 2. H. W. Frijlink and A. H. De Boer. Dry powder inhalers for pulmonary drug delivery. Expert. Opin. Drug Del. 1:67-86 (2004).
- 3. P. Lucas, M. J. Clarke, K. Anderson, M. J. Tobyn, and J. N. Staniforth. The role of fine particle excipients in pharmaceutical dry powder aerosols. In R. N. Dalby P. R. Byron, and S. J. Farr (eds.), Proceedings of Respiratory Drug Delivery VI, Interpharm, Buffalo Grove, IL, 1998, pp. 243-250.
- 4. P. Lucas, K. Anderson, and J. N. Staniforth. Protein deposition from dry powder inhalers: fine particle multiplets as performance modifiers. *Pharm. Res.* **15**:562-569 (1998).
- 5. X. M. Zeng, K. H. Pandhal, and G. P. Martin. The influence of lactose carrier on the content homogeneity and dispersibility of beclomethasone dipropionate from dry powder aerosols. Int. J. Pharm. 197:41-52 (2000).
- 6. S. K. Tee, C. Marriott, X. M. Zeng, and G. P. Martin. The use of different sugars as fine and coarse carriers for aerosolised salbutamol sulphate. Int. J. Pharm.  $208:111-123$  (2000).
- 7. D. Lambregts, K. Gruben, and A. H. Boer. Importance of the choice of lactose in combination with dry powder inhalers, Drug Delivery To The Lungs 15, The Aerosol Society, London, 2004, pp. 157-160.
- 8. X. M. Zeng, S. K. Tee, G. P. Martin, and C. Marriott. Effects of mixing procedure and particle size distribution of carrier particles on the deposition of salbutamol sulphate from dry powder inhaler formulations, Proceedings of Drug Delivery to the Lungs VII, The Aerosol Society, London, Bristol, 1996, pp.  $40 - 43$ .
- 9. X. M. Zeng, G. P. Martin, S. K. Tee, and C. Marriott. The role of fine particle lactose on the dispersion and deaggregation of salbutamol sulphate in an air stream in vitro. Int. J. Pharm. **176**:99–110 (1998).
- 10. X. M. Zeng, G. P. Martin, S. K. Tee, A. Abu Ghoush, and C. Marriott. Effects of particle size and adding sequence of fine lactose on the deposition of salbutamol sulphate from a dry powder formulation. Int. J. Pharm. 182:133-144 (1999).
- 11. N. Islam, P. Stewart, I. Larson, and P. Hartley. Lactose surface modification by decantation: are drug-fine lactose ratios the key to better dispersion of salmeterol xinafoate from lactoseinteractive mixtures? Pharm. Res. 21:492-499 (2004).
- 12. N. Islam, P. Stewart, I. Larson, and P. Hartley. Effect of carrier size on the dispersion of salmeterol xinafoate from interactive mixtures. J. Pharm. Sci. 93:1030-1038 (2004).
- 13. H. Larhrib, X. M. Zeng, G. P. Martin, C. Marriott, and J. Pritchard. The use of different grades of lactose as a carrier for aerosolised salbutamol sulphate. Int. J. Pharm. 191:1-14 (1999).
- 14. A. H. Boer, P. Hagedoorn, D. Gjaltema, J. Goede, and H. W. Frijlink. Air classifier technology (act) in dry powder inhalation part 4. Performance of air classifier technology in the novoli $zer(r)$  multi-dose dry powder inhaler. Int. J. Pharm. 310:81-89 (2006).
- 15. H. Steckel, P. Markefka, H. teWierik, and R. Kammelar. Effect of milling and sieving on functionality of dry powder inhalation products. Int. J. Pharm. 309:51-59 (2006).
- 16. L. A. Mackin, G. Rowley, and E. J. Fletcher. An investigation of carrier particle type, electrostatic charge and relative humidity on in-vitro drug deposition from dry powder inhaler formulations. Pharm. Sci. 3:583-586 (1997).
- 17. A. H. Kibbe, P. J. Weller. Lactose. In R. C. Rowe P. J. Sheskey, and P. J. Weller (eds.), Handbook of Pharmaceutical Excipients, Pharmaceutical, London, 2003.
- 18. X. M. Zeng, S. K. Tee, G. P. Martin, and C. Marriott. P195: improving the delivery efficiency of dry powder inhalers by

adding fine carrier particles to powder formulations. Thorax 51:A74 (1996).

- 19. J. D. Lord and J. N. Staniforth. Particle size effects on packing and dispersion of powders. In R. N. Dalby, P. R. Byron, and S. J. Farr (eds.), Respiratory Drug Delivery V, Interpharm, 1996, pp. 75-84.
- 20. F. Podczeck. Adhesion forces in interactive powder mixtures of a micronized drug and carrier particles of various particle size distributions. J. Adhes. Sci. Technol. 12:1323-1339 (1998).
- 21. S. K. Tee, G. P. Martin, A. R. Leeds, C. Walker, A. Kicman, D. A. Cowan, and C. Marriott. The influence of a tertiary component on the in vivo disposition of salbutamol isomers aerosolised from a dry powder inhaler formulation. Thorax 56:61-62 (2001).
- 22. X. M. Zeng, G. P. Martin, C. Marriott, and J. Pritchard. Lactose as a carrier in dry powder formulations: the influence of surface characteristics on drug delivery. J. Pharm. Sci. 90:1424-1434 (2001).
- 23. P. Harjunen, V.-P. Lehto, K. Martimo, E. Suihko, T. Lankinen, P. Paronen, and K. Jarvinen. Lactose modifications enhance its drug performance in the novel multiple dose taifun dpi. Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 16:313-321 (2002).
- 24. M. D. Louey and P. J. Stewart. Particle interactions involved in aerosol dispersion of ternary interactive mixtures. Pharm. Res. 19:1524-1531 (2002).
- 25. M. D. Louey, S. Razia, and P. J. Stewart. Influence of physicochemical carrier properties on the in vitro aerosol deposition from interactive mixtures. Int. J. Pharm.  $252:87-98$  (2003).
- 26. P. J. Stewart, N. Islam, H. Adi, I. Larson, and P. Hartley. Key surface characteristics of lactose carriers in the dispersion of salmeterol xinafoate from mixtures for inhalation, Drug Delivery to the Lungs XIV, The Aerosol Society, London,  $2003$ , pp.  $10-13$ .
- 27. T. Nakate, H. Yoshida, A. Ohike, Y. Tokunaga, R. Ibuki, and Y. Kawashima. Formulation development of inhalation powders for fk888 with carrier lactose using spinhaler and its absorption in healthy volunteers. J. Control. Release 97:19-29 (2004).
- 28. H. Adi, I. Larson, and P. Stewart. Influence of particle size of the fine lactose in the dispersion of salmeterol xinafoate from lactose mixtures for inhalation. In R. N. Dalby P. R. Byron J. Peart J. D. Suman, and S. J. Farr (eds.), Respiratory Drug Delivery IX, Davis Healthcare International, Palm Desert, California, 2004, pp. 553-556.
- 29. K. Gilani, A. Rouholamini Najafabadi, M. Barghi, and M. Rafiee-Tehrani. Aerosolisation of beclomethasone dipropionate using spray dried lactose/polyethylene glycol carriers. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 58:595-606 (2004).
- 30. S. P. Shah and A. Misra. Liposomal amikacin dry powder inhaler: effect of fines on in vitro performance. AAPS Pharm. Sci. Tech. 5:e65 (2004).
- 31. S. P. Shah and A. Misra. Development of liposomal amphotericin b dry powder inhaler formulation. Drug Del. 11:247-253  $(2004)$ .
- 32. S. P. Shah and A. Misra. Liposomal amphotericin b dry powder inhaler: effect of fines on in vitro performance. Pharmazie 59:812-813 (2004).
- 33. K. Endo, S. Amikawa, A. Matsumoto, N. Sahashi, and S. Onoue. Erythritol-based dry powder of glucagon for pulmonary administration. Int. J. Pharm. 290:63-71 (2005).
- 34. T. Hartmann and H. Steckel. Influence of mixer type and mixing conditions on delivery efficiency from flowcaps, a capsule-based dry powder inhaler, Drug Delivery To The Lungs 15, The Aerosol Society, London, 2004, pp. 178–181.
- 35. H. Adi, P. Stewart, and I. Larson. Influence of Different Sugars on the Dispersion of Salmeterol Xinafoate in Dry Powder Inhalation Formulations, Formula IV: Frontiers in Formulation Science, Royal Society of Chemistry, King's College, London, 2005.
- 36. H. Adi, P. Stewart, and I. Larson. The Use of Scanning Electron Microscopy to Study the Influence of Fine Lactose in the Dispersion of Salmeterol Xinafoate in Powder Mixtures for Inhalation, Formula IV: Frontiers in Formulation Science, Royal Society of Chemistry, King's College, London, 2005.
- 37. M. D. Jones, R. Price, J. C. Hooton, M. L. Dawson, and A. R. Ferrie. Using afm to investigate how fines improve dpi

<span id="page-9-0"></span>performance, Proceedings of Drug Delivery to the Lungs 16, The Aerosol Society, Edinburgh, UK, 2005, pp. 11-14.

- A. Misra. Personal communication. (2005).
- 39. Sorbolac 400-product specification, Meggle Excipients and Technology.
- 40. K. Arnold, P. Grass, A. Knecht, R. Roos, G. Sluke, H. Thieme, and J. Wenzel. Powders for inhalation, United States Patent 5478578, 1995.
- 41. P. J. Stewart, H. Adi, and I. Larson. Dry powder inhaler formulations-simple two component powder mixtures or a multi-particulate nightmare, Drug Delivery to the Lungs 16, The Aerosol Society, Edinburgh, 2005, pp. 81-84.
- 42. F. Podczeck. The influence of particle size distribution and surface roughness of carrier particles on the in vitro properties of dry powder inhalations. Aerosol. Sci. Technol. 31:301-321 (1999).
- 43. F. Podczeck. The relationship between physical properties of lactose monohydrate and the aerodynamic behaviour of adhered drug particles. Int. J. Pharm. 160:119-130 (1998).
- 44. H. Murakoshi, T. Saotome, Y. Fujii, T. Ozeki, Y. Takashima, H. Yuasa, and H. Okada. Effect of physical properties of carrier particles on drug emission from a dry powder inhaler device. J. Drug Del. Sci. Tech. 15:223-226 (2005).
- 45. X. M. Zeng, G. P. Martin, and C. Marriott. Particulate Interactions in Dry Powder Formulations for Inhalation, Taylor and Francis, London, 2001.
- 46. J. N. Staniforth. Improvement in dry powder inhaler performance: Surface passivation effects, Drug Delivery to the Lungs VII, The Aerosol Society, Bristol, London, 1996, pp. 86-89.
- 47. J. A. Hersey. Ordered mixing: a new concept on powder mixing practice. Powder Technol. 11:41-44 (1975).
- 48. T. Srichana, G. P. Martin, and C. Marriott. On the relationship between drug and carrier deposition from dry powder inhalers in vitro. Int. J. Pharm. 167:13-23 (1998).
- 49. P. M. Young, S. Edge, D. Traini, M. D. Jones, R. Price, D. El-Sabawi, C. Urry, and C. Smith. The influence of dose on the

performance of dry powder inhalation systems. Int. J. Pharm. 296:26-33 (2005).

- 50. M. D. Louey, P. Mulvaney, and P. J. Stewart. Characterisation of adhesional properties of lactose carriers using atomic force microscopy. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 25:559-567 (2001).
- 51. M. Bunker, M. Davies, and C. Roberts. Towards screening of inhalation formulations: measuring interactions with atomic force microscopy. Expert. Opin. Drug Del. 2:613-624 (2005).
- 52. S. S. Soebagyo and P. J. Stewart. The effect of cohesive and noncohesive ternary components on the homogeneity and stability of a prednisolone interactive mixture. Int. J. Pharm. 25:225-236 (1985).
- 53. D. L. French, D. A. Edwards, and R. W. Niven. The influence of formulation on emission, deaggregation and deposition of dry powders for inhalation. *J. Aerosol.* Sci.  $27:769-783$  (1996).
- 54. B. Y. Shekunov, J. C. Feeley, A. H. L. Chow, H. H. Y. Tong, and P. York. Aerosolisation behaviour of micronised and supercritically-processed powders. *J. Aerosol. Sci.* **34:**553–568 (2003).
- 55. D. M. Schaefer, M. Carpenter, B. Gady, R. Reifenberger, L. P. Demejo, and D. S. Rimai. Surface-roughness and its influence on particle adhesion using atomic-force techniques. J. Adhes. Sci. Technol. 9:1049-1062 (1995).
- 56. D. M. Schaefer, M. Carpenter, R. Reifenberger, L. P. Demejo, and D. S. Rimai. Surface force interactions between micrometersize polystyrene spheres and silicon substrates using atomicforce techniques. J. Adhes. Sci. Technol. 8:197-210 (1994).
- 57. D. Cline and R. Dalby. Predicting the quality of powders for inhalation from surface energy and area. Pharm. Res. 19:1274-1277 (2002).
- 58. H. H. Y. Tong, B. Y. Shekunov, P. York, and A. H. L. Chow. Predicting the aerosol performance of dry powder inhalation formulations by interparticulate interaction analysis using inverse gas chromatography. J. Pharm. Sci. 95:228-233 (2006).
- 59. H. K. Chan and N. Y. K. Chew. Novel alternative methods for the delivery of drugs for the treatment of asthma. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 55:793-805 (2003).